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#### Abstract

In rural India, the socio-economic conditions have largely constrained the process of education and the social inequalities of caste, class and gender have been identified as the major causes of educational deprivation among children in India. A large proportion of children from the economically poor and socially disadvantaged groups, especially girls, are either denied access or are failing to complete even five years of basic education. The goal of universal and compulsory education remains a challenge to achieve even after six decades of independence. The present paper has tried to highlight the various aspects of education and state of literacy in Ambedkarnagar District in North India. Study also examined the rate of literates and illiterates by population and sex in various Community Development Blocks in general and scheduled castes and scheduled tribes' population in particular. The distribution of villages by literacy rate range as well as accor ding to the distance from the nearest statutory town and availability and non-availability of educational facility has also elaborated. This paper has been presented at the International Conference on Education Awareness for Social Sectors Issues in India, organized by Singhania University, India, in collaboration with Bedfordian


Business School, Luton, United Kingdom \& Toff Institute of Management and Engineering Studies, India during 24 July, 2011.
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## 1. Introduction

Right to education is the primary right of every citizen of India, whether a child resides in a high profile society or in a far a way not so developed secluded village. Schools in rural areas are promoted to raise the level of education and literacy in rural India. The main aim of running these types of schools in India is to increase the rates of literacy in rural areas. More than 40 percent of India's population is illiterate and cannot read or write. In addition, schools in rural areas are inadequate and often equivalent to being non-existent. Thus, government's initiative to set up schools in rural areas came into picture. The conditions of rural education in India, is improving steadily and the government is providing full support and with many initiatives. The fee structure in these schools is also very low so that every child can study and afford it.

Parental education has a strong positive influence on schooling outcomes and in particular for the girl child. The impact of mother's education is more pronounced for the girl child than for boys. Secondly, the economic well being of the household as measured by income or wealth indicators affects the likelihood of going to school. Poorer households are prone to income shocks and unable to insure themselves. Credit constraints prevent them from borrowing. They are less likely to send their children to school and more likely to pull the children out of school in the event of an adverse shock. Hence, there is also a link between the occupation of the household head and the likelihood of going to school. Thirdly, sibling rivalry too is important. Girls are likely to
be pulled out of school in order to help with household chores (Grootaert and Patrinos, 1999).

In the Indian context, analysing the National Council of Applied Economic Research (NCAER) data, Duraisamy (2002) concludes that parental education, family income, and availability of middle schools within the village have a significant positive effect on child school enrolment decisions in India. Dreze and Kingdon (2001) and Leclercq (2001a, 2001b) find similar results for north India. However, they stress school quality as the key determinant of enrolment and grade attainment. Chin (2002) addressing one aspect of Operation Blackboard in India (change from one-teacher to two teacher schools), finds that changes in school quality have a bigger impact on school completion and literacy among girls than boys. Kochar (2001) proxies for school quality by student teacher ratio and finds that this affects the probability of going to school.

Though there are very few schools in rural areas, children and their parents are showing interest and availing school facilities in these remote locations. Children have to walk miles to reach their school. Rural schools pay special attention to children in these locations so that each child gets an equal and important opportunity. They promote reading, writing and enhanced basic education. These schools also provide study material to every student apart from, meals during school hours, uniforms etc. They not only provide kits for hands-on-learning, but also notebooks, textbooks and pencils to poor children. Apart from that, they also give scholarships to deserving students regularly, who wish to study ahead. They create community a wareness, about the need for education and world literacy. Many indirect benefits of a basic rural education include poverty reduction, disease control, enhanced employment opportunities and increasing rate of literacy. The curriculum includes English,

Mathematics, General Knowledge and Drawing. With the help of rural education, every family and child has excess to basic primary education. Individual's special talents are recognized. The teaching methodology ensures that each and every student is exposed to educational experience in an active and dynamic learning environment, so that they can achieve excellence. The primary objective of rural schools is to ensure that every child in rural India receives quality education which prepares them to compete in the competitive global environment.

### 1.1 Understanding the Concept of Literacy and Education:

* Literate: A person age 7 years and above who can both read and write with understanding in any language is taken as literate. A person who can only read but cannot write is not literate. It is not necessary that to be considered as literate, a person should have received any formal education or passed any minimum educational standard. Literacy could also have been achieved through adult literacy classes or through any non-formal educational system. People who are blind and can read in Braille are treated as literates.
* Literacy rate: Literacy rate of the population is defined as the percentage of literates in the age group seven years and above. For different age groups the percentage of literates in that age group gives the literacy rate.
* Educational level: The highest level of education a person has completed.


### 1.2 Study Area

For the study, the district of Ambedkarnagar in the North Indian state of Uttar Pradesh has been selected as study area, taking into consideration its
economic backwardness, agricultural base as well as presence of large number of rural population. It forms a part of Ghagra basin and lies between $26^{\circ} 09^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ and $26^{\circ} 40^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ latitudes and between $82^{\circ} 12^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ and $83^{\circ} 05^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ longitudes. The study area occupies an area of 2361 sq km and has a population of 2026876 . About $91 \%$ population lives in villages whose main occupation is agricultural farming. Administratively, the district has 4 sub-divisions (tehsils) and 9 Community Development Blocks (CDB).

## 2. State of Literacy in the District

In the district, about 58.4 percent population is literate. The literacy is 72.5 percent in urban areas and 57.0 percent in rural areas. The male literacy is higher at 71.4 percent as against 45.3 percent female literacy, and therefore the gap in male/female literacy rate is 26.1 percentage points. In rural areas among 10 Community Development Blocks (CDB), the highest literacy is at 63.3 percent in Atrauli and lowest at 54.3 percent in Akbarpur. The literacy among males in rural areas is 70.5 percent in comparison to 43.4 percent among females. The lowest female literacy of 39.9 percent is in Bhiyaon block. The gap in male/female literacy rate is highest in Bhiyaon Block, which is 30.6 percent. In 42.4 percent of villages, covering 47.0 percent of rural population the literacy range is 51 to 60 . Among urbanites in the district, as much as 79.4 percent males are literates as against 65.1 percent females. The highest urban literacy is in Jalalpur (81.1 \%) and lowest in Ashrafpur Kichhauchha at 57.1 percent. The maximum males' literacy is also found in Jalalpur at 87.1 percent and lowest at 67.8 percent in Ashrafpur Kichhauchha. The highest female literacy is also in Jalalpur at 75.0 percent and lowest at 46.0 percent in Ashrafpur Kichhauchha. Still, the maximum gap in male-female literacy rate at 21.8 percentage points is found in Ashrafpur Kichhanchha. The literacy
among Scheduled Castes is 47.5 percent, in the countryside and 54.7 percent in towns. The percentage of literacy among Scheduled Tribes is 54.7 percent at district level. Only 6.8 percent females among Scheduled Tribes population are found literate in the district (District Census Handbook, 2001).

District and sub-division wise, literacy rates besides the number of literates and illiterates for total, rural and urban areas are given in table 1. The literacy rates are worked out by excluding population of 0-6 years. As per 2001 Census, the proportion of literates to total population excluding 0-6 years comes to 58.4 per cent in the district. This proportion in rural area is 57.0 percent against 72.5 percent in urban areas. In the district the literacy rates of male ( $71.4 \%$ ) is much higher than that of females ( $45.3 \%$ ). Among the sub-division, Tanda sub-division tops with 61.0 percent literates followed by Allapur sub-division (59.1 \%). Akbarpur sub-division with 55.9 percent literates stands at the bottom in the district. Out of 4 sub-division, the literacy rates of Tanda, Allapur and Jalalpur sub-divisions are higher than that of district average. It is observed that in urban areas of district the literacy rates are higher than the rural areas. The gap between male and female literacy is very high which comes to 26.1 percent in the district, as a whole. The situation at sub-division level is not much different. However, this gap in urban literacy is low which comes to 14.3 points against the rural areas, where the gap between male and female literacy is 27.2 points.

The table 2 reveals number of literates, illiterates and the literacy rates in rural areas at Community Development Block level in the district. 57.0 percent of the total rural population excluding the age group of 0-6 years literates in the district. The corresponding proportion of male and female literates comes to 70.5 and 43.4 percent respectively, which shows very wide gap of 27.2 percent in male and female literacy. At block level, Atraulia block
has the highest rural literacy rate of 63.3 percent while 76.5 percent males and 49.6 percent females are literates in this block. The lowest literacy rate in rural areas is noted in Akbarpur block (54.3 \%). There are wide variations in male and female literacy in all the blocks of the district. The maximum difference of 30.6 points is found in Bhiyaon block.

The distribution of villages by literacy rate ranges in the district is highlighted by table 3 . There is no such type of villages in the district, which have all the literate or illiterate population. 710 villages accounting for 42.4 percent of the total villages are in the literacy range of 51-60 percent and 508 villages (30.3 \%) fall in the literacy range of 61-70 percent. The lower literacy rate of 1 to 50 percent observed in 334 villages (19.9 \%) of the district. The higher literacy ranges of 71 to 100 percent covers 123 villages ( $7.4 \%$ ). The range of 51-70 have the maximum number of 1218 villages forming 72.7 percent of the total inhabited villages.

In rural areas of the district, proportion of literates is 47.5 percent in which 62.2 percent are males and 32.9 percent are females. Thus, the gap in male and female literacy rates is 29.3 percent. Among 10 blocks, Ramnagar block has the highest literacy rate and Bhiti block has the lowest literacy rates. The gap in male/female literacy rates in Atraulia block is highest and this gap is lowest in Akbarpur block (Table 4).

Table 5 presents the distribution of villages by literacy rate range for Scheduled Castes population at the 2001 Census. In majority of inhabited villages, which form about 77.9 percent of total rural Scheduled Castes population, the literacy range is 41 to 60 percentages. In the district, there are 1533 inhabited villages with Scheduled Castes population with about 47.5 percent of literacy rate.

Table 6 brings out the number and percentage of Scheduled Tribes literates
and illiterates by sex in Community Development Blocks. The number of illiterates is more than literates in the district and Community Development Blocks, with exception to Atraulia Block. The literacy rate of the Scheduled Tribes population at about 29.1 percent is less than the corresponding rates it about 47.5 percent in case of Scheduled Castes community. The gap in male and female literacy rates at about 37.1 percent is higher than what it is in case of the Scheduled Castes community (about 29.3\%). The literacy rate of highest in Atraulia Block and lowest in Bhiti Block. The gap in male/female literacy rate in Atraulia Block is in favor of the females.

In the district, the Scheduled Tribes population is found in 11 villages out of which in 2 villages, the literacy range is 100 per cent. However, the maximum (31.5 \%) of the Scheduled Tribes population is found in only 3 villages with literacy range from 11 to 20 percentages.

Table 8 depicts that, out of 1675 inhabited villages in the district 1047 villages ( $62.5 \%$ ) have been provided with the education facilities of one type or the other. Data also shows that the number and proportion of rural population served by different kind of a menities. Out of total rural population of 1845783 persons in the district, 1513522 (82.0 \%) have educational facility of one or the other type. They have to go out of their village to avail these facilities. Such villages have been presented according to various distance ranges in table 9.659 villages do not have primary school in their villages and out of these villages, children of 568 villages have to travel less than 5 km for schooling. Out of 1675 inhabited villages as many as 1417 are deprived of middle school and 1658 of degree college. Of these, 972 avail the facility of middle school at a distance of less than $5 \mathrm{~km}, 419$ at a distance $5-10 \mathrm{~km}$ and the remaining 26 at a distance of $10+\mathrm{km}$. In respect of degree colleges, 406 avail this facility at a distance of less than $5 \mathrm{~km}, 592$ between 5 to 10 km and
rest 660 villages at a distance of $10+\mathrm{km}$.
The distribution of villages according to distance from the nearest statutory town and availability of different amenities within the village is showed by table 10. Out of total 1675 inhabited villages in the district, there are 201 villages, which have nearest towns up to a distance of 5 km . Of these only 130 villages forming 64.7 percent of these total villages, are having the educational facilities of one type or the other. There are 966 inhabited villages in the district, which fall in the distance range of $5-15 \mathrm{~km}$, of these 611 villages constituting 63.3 percent have been provided with the educational facilities. Whereas 366 villages fall in the distance range of $16-50 \mathrm{~km}$ of these, 64.2 percent villages have educational facility. Furthermore, there are only 8 villages in the district which are situated beyond 50 km from the nearest town and out of these $5(62.5 \%)$ enjoy the education facility in the village itself whereas there are 134 villages whose distance ranges from the nearest statutory town have not been given. Such villages have been grouped under the unspecified category. 66 ( $49.3 \%$ ) villages have the educational facility of one or other type (District Census Handbook, 2001).

### 2.1 Educational Centres of the District

The presence of large number of educational centres in any region is an important indicator of socio-economic development in that region. Good educational facilities in any region will boost the literacy rate, which will ultimately increase the quality and efficiency of man-power. Table-11 shows the distribution of different educational centres in different blocks of Ambedkarnagar district. There are 1585 junior basic school in the district, which varies between 8.58 percent (Bhiti) and 15.46 percent (Akbarpur), followed by Tanda (13.31), Jalapur (12.68), Ramnagar (10.41) etc. The total
number of senior basic school in the district is 508 , out of which 16.93 percent are occupied by Akbarpur whereas only 7.68 percent by Bhiti block. The total number of higher secondary school in the district is 182 with great regional variations among different blocks of the district. Akbarpur block has highest number of degree colleges in the district, 2 each in Akbarpur and Tanda, and 1 in Jahangirganj block. There are only 2 industrial training centres in the whole district, which impart industrial and technical training to the needy students.

## 3. Problems in Present Scenario

The condition of rural education is still improving, the conditions of these rural schools is still very poor. Most of the schools do not have proper infrastructure. They do not get most of the facilities such as computer education, sports education and extra-curricular activities. There are very few schools in the rural areas, children have to travel far away distances to avail these facilities, and most schools in these locations do not provide drinking water. There are no proper transport facilities so children do not like to travel miles to come to school. The quality of education is also very poor. The teachers get very less income so, most of the time the teachers are either absent or there is a possibility that teachers give less attention to children. There is no excess to supplemental education. There are many initiatives taken by the government, but they are not implemented in the schools, so the present scenario remains the same.

## 4. Limitations of the Study

Due to the non-availability of recent data, the study has based on Census of

India, 2001 and District Statistical Magazine, 2009-10.

## 5. Conclusion

Despite encouraging leaps in literacy and current school attendance over the 1990s, the situation at the start of the twenty first century leaves much to be desired. It is clear that a lot remains to be done to achieve universal elementary education. The central government's new emphasis on elementary education under its Sarva Shiksha Abhiyan initiative the promise of continuation of the enabling conditions of the 1990s give grounds for optimism in the medium term. However, even if progress towards universal elementary education is rapidly achieved, education of a decent quality for all will remain a challenging goal. In particular, developments such as the increasing employment of para-teachers in small or marginal communities and the rapid growth of private education give rise to concerns about 'hierarchies of access', with those who are poorer and subject to discrimination being frequently left with the lower quality options in primary schooling. The achievement of better education for all will require still greater social action and public commitment than has been given to education so far.
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Table 1. Number and Percentage of Literates and Illiterates by Tahsils

| $\begin{gathered} \text { S. } \\ \text { no. } \end{gathered}$ | Name of Tahsil | Total/ <br> Rural/ <br> Urban | Number of literates and illiterates |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage of literates |  |  | Gap inmale-femaleliteracy rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Number of literates |  |  | Number of literates |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Persons | Males | Females | Persons | Males | Females | Persons | Males | Females |  |
| 1. | Tanda | Total | 251957 | 115864 | 100093 | 261099 | 110990 | 150109 | 61.0 | 71.8 | 49.7 | 22.2 |
|  |  | Rural | 184680 | 113064 | 71616 | 210825 | 88504 | 122321 | 58.4 | 70.2 | 46.1 | 24.2 |
|  |  | Urban | 67277 | 38800 | 28477 | 50274 | 22486 | 27788 | 69.8 | 77 | 61.9 | 15.1 |
| 2. | Allapur | Total | 178888 | 109964 | 68924 | 199342 | 77784 | 121558 | 59.1 | 73.9 | 44.8 | 29.1 |
|  |  | Rural | 178888 | 109964 | 68924 | 199342 | 77784 | 121558 | 59.1 | 73.9 | 44.8 | 29.1 |
|  |  | Urban | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 3. | Jalalpur | Total | 217812 | 134074 | 83738 | 242433 | 97373 | 145060 | 58.7 | 72.2 | 45.1 | 27.1 |
|  |  | Rural | 197694 | 123091 | 74603 | 232915 | 93230 | 139685 | 57 | 71.1 | 43 | 28.1 |
|  |  | Urban | 20118 | 10983 | 9135 | 9518 | 4143 | 5375 | 81.2 | 87.1 | 75 | 12.1 |
| 4. | Akbarpur | Total | 305880 | 191150 | 114730 | 369465 | 151754 | 217711 | 55.9 | 69.1 | 42.5 | 26.6 |
|  |  | Rural | 284821 | 179152 | 105669 | 356618 | 146057 | 210561 | 54.9 | 68.4 | 41.2 | 27.3 |
|  |  | Urban | 21059 | 11998 | 9061 | 12847 | 5697 | 7150 | 74.5 | 81.1 | 67.2 | 14.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Total | 954537 | 587052 | 367485 | 1072339 | 437901 | 634438 | 58.4 | 71.4 | 45.3 | 26.1 |
| Dis | ict Total | Rural | 846083 | 525271 | 320812 | 999700 | 405575 | 594125 | 57 | 70.5 | 43.4 | 27.2 |
|  |  | Urban | 108454 | 61781 | 46673 | 72639 | 32326 | 40313 | 72.5 | 79.4 | 65.1 | 14.3 |

[^0]Table 2. Number and Percentage of Literates and Illiterates by Sex in C. D. Blocks

| $\begin{gathered} \text { S. } \\ \text { no. } \end{gathered}$ | Name of C.D. Block | Number of literates and illiterates |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage of literates |  |  | Gap in male-female literacy rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number of literates |  |  | Number of literates |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Persons | Males | Females | Persons | Males | Females | Persons | Males | Females |  |
| 1. | Tanda | 103615 | 64133 | 39482 | 122389 | 51919 | 70470 | 57.1 | 68.7 | 44.7 | 24.0 |
| 2. | Baskhari | 81065 | 48931 | 32134 | 88436 | 36585 | 51851 | 60.1 | 72.3 | 47.8 | 24.5 |
| 3. | Ramnagar | 85748 | 52782 | 32966 | 98596 | 38878 | 59718 | 58.3 | 72.8 | 44.2 | 28.6 |
| 4. | Jahangirnagar | 76992 | 47237 | 29755 | 85300 | 32731 | 52569 | 59.3 | 74.7 | 44.6 | 30.1 |
| 5. | Jalalpur | 121035 | 74689 | 46346 | 135140 | 54989 | 80151 | 58.5 | 71.6 | 45.1 | 26.4 |
| 6. | Bhiyaon | 76659 | 48402 | 28257 | 97775 | 38241 | 59534 | 54.9 | 70.5 | 39.9 | 30.6 |
| 7. | Bhiti | 67552 | 42845 | 24707 | 82129 | 33016 | 49113 | 55.7 | 70.2 | 40.9 | 29.3 |
| 8. | Katehri | 80346 | 50508 | 29838 | 99363 | 40061 | 59302 | 55.4 | 69.5 | 41.2 | 28.3 |
| 9. | Akbarpur | 136923 | 85799 | 51124 | 175126 | 72980 | 102146 | 54.3 | 66.9 | 41.2 | 25.7 |
| 10. | Atraulia | 16148 | 9945 | 6203 | 15446 | 6175 | 9271 | 63.3 | 76.5 | 49.6 | 26.9 |
|  | trict (Rural) <br> Total | 846083 | 525271 | 320812 | 999700 | 405575 | 594125 | 63.3 | 76.5 | 49.6 | 27.2 |

Source: Based on Census of India (2001) \& District Statistical Magazine (2009-10)

Table 3. Distribution of Villages by Literacy Rate Range

| Range of literacy rate for villages | Number of inhabited villages | Percentage distribution of villages | Population | Percentage distribution of population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 1-10 | 3 | 0.2 | 726 | 0.0 |
| 11-20 | 5 | 0.3 | 1628 | 0.1 |
| 21-30 | 14 | 0.8 | 5714 | 0.3 |
| 31-40 | 54 | 3.2 | 45266 | 2.5 |
| 41-50 | 258 | 15.4 | 312354 | 16.9 |
| 51-60 | 710 | 42.4 | 868351 | 47.0 |
| 61-70 | 508 | 30.3 | 540342 | 29.3 |
| 71-80 | 106 | 6.3 | 68242 | 3.7 |
| 81-90 | 16 | 1.0 | 3054 | 0.2 |
| 91-99 | 1 | 0.1 | 106 | 0.0 |
| 100 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| District Total: | 1675 | 100.0 | 1845783 | 100.0 |
| Literacy Rate of District: |  | 58.4 |  |  |

Source: Based on Census of India (2001) \& District Statistical Magazine (2009-10).

Table 4. Number and Percentage of Scheduled Castes Literates and Illiter ates by Sex in

## C.D. Blocks

| S. | Name of C.D. Block | Number of literates and illiterates |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage of literates |  |  | Gap in <br> male-female <br> literacy rate$\|$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number of literates |  |  | Number of literates |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Persons | Males | Females | Persons | Males | Females | Persons | Males | Females |  |
| 1. | Tanda | 25350 | 16651 | 8699 | 41859 | 17598 | 24261 | 47.8 | 61.4 | 33.5 | 28.0 |
| 2. | Baskhari | 16848 | 10856 | 5992 | 26555 | 10963 | 15592 | 49.4 | 63.8 | 35.1 | 28.7 |
| 3. | Ramnagar | 19181 | 12276 | 6905 | 30049 | 11903 | 18146 | 49.8 | 65.6 | 34.9 | 30.7 |
| 4. | Jahangirnagar | 17359 | 11386 | 59732 | 28453 | 10968 | 17485 | 48.5 | 65.9 | 32.2 | 33.7 |
| 5. | Jalalpur | 27875 | 18106 | 9769 | 45973 | 19097 | 26876 | 47.7 | 61.7 | 33.6 | 28.1 |
| 6. | Bhiyaon | 17546 | 11412 | 6134 | 28939 | 1399 | 17540 | 48.0 | 64.2 | 32.6 | 31.6 |
| 7. | Bhiti | 11507 | 7813 | 3694 | 22897 | 9687 | 13210 | 42.0 | 56.5 | 27.2 | 29.3 |
| 8. | Katehri | 15627 | 10279 | 5348 | 27414 | 11356 | 16058 | 45.5 | 60.0 | 31.0 | 28.9 |
| 9. | Akbarpur | 25898 | 16706 | 9192 | 43071 | 18037 | 25034 | 47.2 | 60.5 | 33.7 | 26.8 |
| 10. | Atraulia | 2625 | 1766 | 859 | 4071 | 1645 | 2426 | 49.5 | 66.4 | 32.4 | 34.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | strict (Rural) <br> Total | 179816 | 117251 | 62565 | 299281 | 122653 | 176628 | 47.5 | 62.2 | 32.9 | 29.3 |

Source: Based on Census of India (2001) \& District Statistical Magazine (2009-10).

Table 5. Distribution of Villages by Literacy Rate Range for Scheduled Castes Population

| Range of literacy rate for villages | Number of inhabited villages | Percentage distribution of villages | Scheduled Castes <br> Population | Percentage distribution of population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 10 | 0.7 | 95 | 0.0 |
| 1-10 | 2 | 0.1 | 139 | 0.0 |
| 11-20 | 17 | 1.1 | 2195 | 0.5 |
| 21-30 | 68 | 4.4 | 11959 | 2.5 |
| 31-40 | 250 | 16.3 | 73558 | 15.4 |
| 41-50 | 575 | 37.5 | 217379 | 45.4 |
| 51-60 | 484 | 31.5 | 155493 | 32.5 |
| 61-70 | 107 | 7.0 | 17543 | 3.7 |
| 71-80 | 15 | 1.0 | 677 | 0.1 |
| 81-90 | 3 | 0.2 | 51 | 0.0 |
| 91-99 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| 100 | 2 | 0.1 | 8 | 0.0 |
| District Total: | 1533 | 100.0 | 479097 | 100.0 |
| Literacy Rate of District: |  | 47.5 |  |  |

Source: Based on Census of India (2001) \& District Statistical Magazine (2009-10).

Table 6. Number and Percentage of Scheduled Tribes Literates and Illiterates by Sex in C.

## D. Blocks

| S. no. | Name of C.D. Block | Number of literates and illiterates |  |  |  |  |  | Percentage of literates |  |  | Gap in male-female literacy rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number of literates |  |  | Number of literates |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Persons | Males | Females | Persons | Males | Females | Persons | Males | Females |  |
| 1. | Tanda | 9 | 8 | 1 | 37 | 22 | 15 | 25.7 | 36.4 | 7.7 | 28.7 |
| 2. | Jalalpur | 10 | 10 | 0 | 28 | 11 | 17 | 34.5 | 52.6 | 0.0 | 52.6 |
| 3. | Bhiti | 6 | 5 | 1 | 31 | 13 | 18 | 23.1 | 38.5 | 7.7 | 30.8 |
| 4. | Katehri | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 33.3 | 50 | 0.0 | 50.0 |
| 5. | Akbarpur | 5 | 5 | 0 | 13 | 6 | 7 | 31.3 | 50 | 0.0 | 50.0 |
| 6. | Atraulia | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100.0 | - 100.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | District Rural) Total | 32 | 29 | 3 | 111 | 53 | 58 | 29.1 | 43.9 | 6.8 | 37.1 |

Source: Based on Census of India (2001) \& District Statistical Magazine (2009-10).

Table 7. Distribution of Villages by Literacy Rate Range for Scheduled Tribes Population

| Range of <br> literacy rate <br> for villages | Number of <br> inhabited <br> villages | Percentage <br> distribution <br> of villages | Scheduled <br> Tribes <br> Population | Percentage <br> distribution <br> of population |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 2 | 18.2 | 5 | 3.5 |  |  |  |  |
| $1-10$ | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| $11-20$ | 3 | 27.3 | 45 | 31.5 |  |  |  |  |
| $21-30$ | 1 | 9.1 | 37 | 25.9 |  |  |  |  |
| $31-40$ | 1 | 9.1 | 15 | 10.5 |  |  |  |  |
| $41-50$ | 2 | 18.2 | 39 | 27.3 |  |  |  |  |
| $51-60$ | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| $61-70$ | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| $71-80$ | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| $81-90$ | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| $91-99$ | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 100 | 2 | 18.2 | 2 | 1.4 |  |  |  |  |
| District Total: | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Literacy Rate of District: |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{2 9 . 1}$ |  |

Source: Based on Census of India (2001) \& District Statistical Magazine (2009-10).

Table 8. Distribution of Villages and Population According to Availability of Educational Facility

| S. | no. | C. D. Block | No. of <br> inhabited <br> villages | No. of villages <br> having <br> educational <br> facility | Total population <br> of inhabited <br> villages |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population <br> availing <br> educational <br> facility |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. | Tanda | $242(100)$ | $131(54.1)$ | $226004(100)$ | $168224(74.4)$ |
| 2. | Baskhari | $121(100)$ | $90(74.4)$ | $169501(100)$ | $153018(90.3)$ |
| 3. | Ramnagar | $174(100)$ | $105(60.3)$ | $184344(100)$ | $147786(80.2)$ |
| 4. | Jahangirganj | $224(100)$ | $109(48.7)$ | $162292(100)$ | $119751(73.8)$ |
| 5. | Jalalpur | $168(100)$ | $152(90.5)$ | $256175(100)$ | $245296(95.8)$ |
| 6. | Bhiyaon | $140(100)$ | $94(67.1)$ | $174434(100)$ | $146267(83.9)$ |
| 7. | Bhiti | $175(100)$ | $103(58.9)$ | $149681(100)$ | $115962(77.5)$ |
| 8. | Katehri | $181(100)$ | $97(53.6)$ | $179709(100)$ | $133118(74.1)$ |
| 9. | Akbarpur | $224(100)$ | $156(69.6)$ | $312049(100)$ | $263607(84.5)$ |
| 10. | Atraulia | $26(100)$ | $10(38.5)$ | $31594(100)$ | $20493(64.9)$ |
| District Total |  | $\mathbf{1 6 7 5 ( 1 0 0 )}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 4 7 ( 6 2 . 5 )}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 4 5} 783(100)$ | $\mathbf{1 5 5 1 3 5 2 2 ( 8 2 . 0 )}$ |

Source: Based on Census of India (2001) \& District Statistical Magazine (2009-10).

Table 9. Distribution of Villages Not Having Certain Amenities, Arranged By

## Distance Ranges from

the Places Where These Are Available

| Village not <br> having the <br> educational <br> facilities | Distance range of place from the villages where the amenity is <br> available |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Less than 5 kms | $\mathbf{5 - 1 0} \mathbf{~ k m s}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 +} \mathbf{k m s}$ | Total |
| Primary School | 568 | 86 | 5 | 659 |
| Middle School | 972 | 419 | 26 | 1417 |
| Degree College | 406 | 592 | 660 | 1658 |

Source: Based on Census of India (2001) \& District Statistical Magazine (2009-10).

Table 10. Distribution of Villages According to the Distance from the Nearest Statutory Town and Availability of Educational Facility

| Distance range from the <br> nearest statutory town <br> (in kms) | Number of inhabited <br> villages in each range | Education |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 5 | $201(100.0)$ | $130(64.7)$ |
| $5-15$ | $966(100.0)$ | $611(63.3)$ |
| $16-50$ | $366(100.0)$ | $235(64.2)$ |
| $51+$ | $8(100.0)$ | $5(62.5)$ |
| Unspecified | $134(100.0)$ | $66(49.3)$ |
| District Total | $\mathbf{1 6 7 5}(\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 )}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 4 7 ( 6 2 . 5 )}$ |

Source: Based on Census of India (2001) \& District Statistical Magazine (2009-10).
Table 11. Block wise Number of Recognized Educational Centres in Ambedkarnagar District

| $\begin{gathered} \text { S. } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Blocks | No. of <br> Junior <br> Basic <br> School | No. of Senior <br> Basic <br> School | No. of Higher Sec. School | No. of Optical Educational Centre | No. of <br> Degree <br> Colleges | No. of <br> Master <br> Degree <br> Colleges | No. of Industrial Training Centers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Bhiti | 136 | 38 | 19 | 2 | 2 | - | - |
| 2. | Katehri | 163 | 56 | 20 | 2 | 2 | - | - |
| 3. | Akbarpur | 245 | 86 | 26 | 9 | 9 | 2 | 1 |
| 4. | Tanda | 211 | 58 | 27 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 1 |
| 5. | Baskhari | 172 | 56 | 15 | 2 | 2 | - | - |
| 6. | Ramnagar | 165 | 53 | 10 | 1 | 1 | - | - |
| 7. | Jahangirganj | 149 | 42 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - |
| 8. | Jalalpur | 201 | 70 | 30 | 5 | 5 | - | - |
| 9. | Bhiyaon | 143 | 49 | 24 | 3 | 3 | - | - |
|  | Total | 1585 | 508 | 182 | 29 | 29 | 5 | 2 |


[^0]:    Source: Based on Census of India (2001) \& District Statistical Magazine (2009-10).

